



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

|                 |             |                          |                     |                  |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR     | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/138,735      | 08/24/1998  | GLAUCIA PARANHOS-BACCALA | WPB-36400B          | 4465             |
| 7590            | 01/04/2002  |                          |                     |                  |

OLIFF & BERRIDGE  
P O BOX 19928  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

EXAMINER

GRASER, JENNIFER E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1645

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                        |                                      |  |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Advisory Action</b> | Application No.<br><b>09/138,735</b> | Applicant(s)<br><b>Paranhos-Baccala et al.</b> |
|                        | Examiner<br><b>Jennifer Graser</b>   | Art Unit<br><b>1645</b>                        |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED Dec 31, 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

**THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a or b]]**

a)  The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b)  In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1.  A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.  The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3.  The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
  - (a)  they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
  - (b)  they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
  - (c)  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d)  they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: The phrase "having at least 85% homology with a fragment" in the probe (claim 5) and primer claims (claim 8) is a new limitation that would require further search and consideration. Additionally, the scope of claim 21 has been changed from 85% homology with a segment of 30 nucleotides to a sequence which is fully complementary to the sequence of claim 11.

Further, the finality of the previous Office Action is proper as claims 5, 11, 18 and 19 were all previously rejected under 112, second paragraph.

5.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
6.  The a)  affidavit, b)  exhibit, or c)  request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
   
  


---
7.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
   
Claim(s) allowed: none
  
Claim(s) objected to: none
  
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 5, 7, 7-27, 29, and 31-35
  
  


---
9.  The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ a)  has b)  has not been approved by the Examiner.
10.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
11.  Other: The term "reference sequence" is also new. Claim 5 does not match the claim in the appendix, i.e., "contains at least 5 and" is not in the appendedix claim.

*J. Graser 11/3/02*  
**JENNIFER GRASER**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**ART UNIT 1645**